

Counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg Vanishing on Ruled Surfaces in Positive Characteristic

Qihong Xie

Abstract

We give counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on ruled surfaces in positive characteristic, and prove that if there is a counterexample to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on a geometrically ruled surface $f : X \rightarrow C$, then either C is a Tango curve or all of sections of f are ample.

1 Introduction

The study of pathology of algebraic geometry in positive characteristic is of certain interest, since pathology reveals some completely different geometric phenomena from those in complex geometry. For the celebrated Kodaira vanishing theorem, Raynaud [Ra78] has given its counterexamples on quasi-elliptic surfaces and on surfaces of general type, which are smooth over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic. Conversely, Tango [Ta72b] and Mukai [Mu79] have shown that if there is a counterexample to the Kodaira vanishing theorem on a smooth projective surface X , then X must be a quasi-elliptic surface or a surface of general type. It turns out that if we restrict our attention to the category of smooth projective surfaces, then those well-known pathologies are very restrictive from the point of view of the classification theory of surfaces.

Mumford [Mu67] has shown that if the morphism $F^* : H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ is not injective, where F^* is induced by the Frobenius map $F : Y \rightarrow Y$ for a normal projective surface Y , then there are a normal projective surface X , a finite surjective separable morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$, and an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X such that $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \neq 0$. Therefore, in the category of normal projective surfaces, those pathologies exist widely.

For algebraic surfaces, it suffices to run the minimal model program in the category of smooth projective surfaces, while for higher-dimensional varieties, it is inevitable to consider either varieties with some mild singularities, e.g. terminal singularities, or log pairs with Kawamata log terminal singularities, and indeed, these singularities arise naturally in the minimal model program. Therefore, in the classification theory of three-dimensional varieties, it is necessary to investigate the birational structure of either singular surfaces or log surfaces instead of smooth surfaces.

As is well known, the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem generalizes the Kodaira vanishing theorem, and plays an essential role in the higher-dimensional minimal model program over the complex number field. Therefore, for the classification of three-dimensional varieties in positive characteristic, it is also necessary and interesting to find counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on either singular surfaces or log surfaces, and give certain characterizations of these counterexamples.

A smooth projective curve C is called a Tango curve if its Tango invariant $n(C) > 0$, where the Tango invariant $n(C)$ was first introduced by Tango [Ta72a]. An important geometric property of a Tango curve C is described as follows: there exists a rank two locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on C such that any quotient line bundle of $\mathcal{E}$ has positive degree, however $\mathcal{E}$ is not ample.

Note that the Kodaira vanishing theorem does hold on smooth ruled surfaces in positive characteristic (cf. [Ta72b]). However, it is shown that if C is a Tango curve, then there exist counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on a geometrically ruled surface X over C (cf. [Xie06, Example 3.7]).

The following is the main theorem in this paper, which is almost the converse of the above result.

Theorem 1.1. *If there is a counterexample to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on a geometrically ruled surface $f : X \rightarrow C$, then either C is a Tango curve or all of sections of f are ample.*

In fact, we may put forward the following conjecture, which would give a characterization of counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on surfaces in positive characteristic.

Conjecture 1.2. *If there is a counterexample to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on a normal projective surface X , then there exists a dominant rational map $f : X \dashrightarrow C$ to a smooth projective curve C such that C is a Tango curve.*

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to observe the behavior of cohomology classes of certain line bundles under the Frobenius map. It turns out that the construction of [Xie06, Example 3.7] (see also Theorem 3.1) and the proof of Theorem 1.1 are inverse to each other to some extent. As a consequence of the main theorem, the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem holds on Hirzebruch surfaces. More generally, we can prove that the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem holds on rational surfaces [Xie07, Theorem 1.4], hence on log del Pezzo surfaces, which is an evidence for Conjecture 1.2.

In this paper, we also generalize slightly the construction of [Xie06, Example 3.7] to yield counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on certain general ruled surfaces and on certain normal projective surfaces of Picard number one with a nonrational singularity (see Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5). All of results indicate that the pathologies of log surfaces do exist widely.

In §2, we shall recall some definitions and elementary results. §3 may be regarded as a survey of counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on ruled surfaces. §4 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem.

Convention and Notation. Throughout this paper, *we always work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ unless otherwise stated.* A surface X is called a ruled surface over a smooth curve C if there is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow C$ such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_C$ and the general fibre of f is $\mathbb{P}^1$, and it is called a geometrically ruled surface if X is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over C . We use $\equiv$ to denote numerical equivalence, $[B] = \sum [b_i]B_i$ to denote the round-down of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $B = \sum b_i B_i$, and $K(C)$ to denote the rational function field of a curve C .

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my gratitude to Professors Yujiro Kawamata and Takao Fujita for valuable advice and warm encouragement. I would also like

to thank Professor Stefan Schröer for useful suggestions. This work was partially supported by JSPS grant No. P05044 and by the 21st Century COE Program and the Global COE Program in the University of Tokyo.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let X be a normal proper algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field k , and $B = \sum b_i B_i$ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X . The pair (X, B) is said to be Kawamata log terminal (KLT, for short), or to have Kawamata log terminal singularities, if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, i.e. $r(K_X + B)$ is Cartier for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) For any birational morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$, if we write $K_Y + B_Y \equiv f^*(K_X + B)$, where $B_Y = \sum a_i E_i$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on Y , then $a_i < 1$ hold for all i .

First, it follows from (ii) that $[B] = 0$, i.e. $b_i < 1$ for all i . Secondly, this definition is characteristic free. Thirdly, when $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\dim X \leq 2$, the pair (X, B) admits a log resolution, i.e. there exists a desingularization $f : Y \rightarrow X$ from a nonsingular variety Y , such that the union of the strict transform $f_*^{-1}B$ of B and the exceptional locus $\text{Exc}(f)$ of f has simple normal crossing support. We can show that when $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\dim X \leq 2$, (ii) holds for all birational morphisms is equivalent to that (ii) holds for a log resolution of (X, B) .

Let us mention a simple example of KLT pair. Let X be a nonsingular variety and B an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $[B] = 0$ and $\text{Supp}(B)$ is simple normal crossing. Then (X, B) is KLT (see [KM98, Corollary 2.31] for the proof).

The Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem is one of the most important generalizations of the Kodaira vanishing theorem (cf. [KMM87, Theorem 1-2-5]).

Theorem 2.2 (Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing). *Let X be a normal projective algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field k with $\text{char}(k) = 0$, $B = \sum b_i B_i$ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X , and D a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor on X . Assume that (X, B) is KLT and that $H = D - (K_X + B)$ is ample. Then $H^i(X, D) = 0$ holds for any $i > 0$.*

From now on, we always assume that C is a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. First, we recall the following definition from [Ta72a, Definitions 9 and 11].

Definition 2.3. Let $f \in K(C)$ be a rational function on C .

$$n(f) := \deg \left[\frac{(df)}{p} \right],$$

where $(df) = \sum_{x \in C} v_x(df)x$ is the divisor associated to the rational differential 1-form df . The Tango invariant $n(C)$ of the curve C is defined by

$$n(C) := \max\{n(f) \mid f \in K(C), f \notin K^p(C)\}.$$

If $f \notin K^p(C)$, then (df) is a canonical divisor on C with degree $2(g(C) - 1)$. It is easy to see that $n(C) \leq [2(g(C) - 1)/p]$. Therefore, if $n(C) > 0$ then $g(C) \geq 2$ holds. On the other hand, it follows from [Ta72a, Proposition 14] that $n(C) \geq 0$ holds if $g(C) \geq 1$. By an elementary calculation, we can show that $n(\mathbb{P}^1) = -1$ and $n(C) = 0$ holds for any elliptic curve C .

Example 2.4. There do exist smooth projective curves C such that $n(C) > 0$ for each characteristic $p > 0$.

(i) Assume $p \geq 3$. Let $h \geq 3$ be an odd integer, and let C be the projective completion at infinity of the affine curve defined by $y^2 = x^{ph} + x^{p+1} + 1$. It is easy to verify that C is a smooth hyperelliptic curve and that $(d(y/x^p)) = (ph-3)z_\infty$, where z_∞ is the infinity point of C (cf. [Sh94, Ch. III, §6.5]). Hence $n(C) = n(y/x^p) = h-1 > 0$.

(ii) Let $h > 2$ be an integer, and let C be the projective completion at infinity of the Artin-Schreier cover of the affine line defined by $y^{hp-1} = x^p - x$. It is easy to verify that C is a smooth curve and that $(dy) = p(h(p-1)-2)z_\infty$, where z_∞ is the infinity point of C . Hence $n(C) = n(y) = h(p-1)-2 > 0$ (cf. [Ra78]).

(iii) Assume $p \geq 3$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the projective curve defined by $x_0^{p+1} = x_1x_2(x_0^{p-1} + x_1^{p-1} - x_2^{p-1})$. Then we can show that C is a smooth curve with $n(C) = n(x_0/x_1) = p-2 > 0$ (cf. [Ta72a, Proposition 28]).

Let $F : C \rightarrow C$ be the Frobenius map. We have the following exact sequences of locally free sheaves on C (cf. [Ta72a, Proposition 3]):

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^1 \rightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^1 \rightarrow F_*\Omega_C^1 \xrightarrow{c} \Omega_C^1 \rightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{B}^1$ is the image of the map $F_*(d) : F_*\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow F_*\Omega_C^1$, and c is the Cartier operator.

Lemma 2.5. *Notation as above, let L be a divisor on C . Then $H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1(-L)) = \{df \mid f \in K(C), (df) \geq pL\}$. In particular, $n(C) > 0$ if and only if there is an ample divisor L on C such that $H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1(-L)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Tensoring (2) by $\mathcal{O}_C(-L)$, we have:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^1(-L) \rightarrow F_*(\Omega_C^1(-pL)) \xrightarrow{c^{(-L)}} \Omega_C^1(-L) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{B}^1$ and $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1(-pL)) = \{\omega \in \Omega_C^1 \mid (\omega) \geq pL\}$, we have $H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1(-L)) = \{df \mid f \in K(C), (df) \geq pL\}$. If $n(C) > 0$, then there exists an $f_0 \in K(C)$ such that $n(f_0) = \deg[(df_0)/p] = n(C) > 0$. Let $L = [(df_0)/p]$. Then we have $\deg L = n(C) > 0$ and $(df_0) \geq pL$, hence $0 \neq df_0 \in H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1(-L))$. The converse follows easily from the definition of $n(C)$. $\square$

Definition 2.6. A smooth projective curve C is called a Tango curve if $n(C) > 0$ holds. Let C be a Tango curve and $f_0 \in K(C)$ such that $n(f_0) = n(C)$. Then the divisor $L = [(df_0)/p]$ is called a base divisor of C . A base divisor L is said to be divisible if $\frac{1}{2}L$ is integral when $p \geq 3$, or $\frac{1}{3}L$ is integral when $p = 2$. A Tango curve C is said to be of integral type if there is a divisible base divisor L of C .

A smooth projective curve C is called a Raynaud-Tango curve, if there are an $f_0 \in K(C)$ and an integral divisor L on C such that $(df_0) = pL$ and $\deg L > 0$. If this is the case, then $K_C = pL$, $n(C) = n(f_0) = \deg L > 0$ and L is a base divisor of C .

For instance, the curve C given in Example 2.4 (i) is a Tango curve of integral type, and the curve C given in Example 2.4 (ii) is a Raynaud-Tango curve of integral type for some suitable h when $p = 2$, while the curve C given in Example 2.4 (iii) is a Tango curve, which is not of integral type.

3 Counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing

In [Xie06, Example 3.7], some counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on certain geometrically ruled surfaces have been constructed. We recall and simplify the explicit construction for the convenience of the citation.

Theorem 3.1. *If C is a Tango curve, then there are a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $f : X \rightarrow C$, an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor B and an integral divisor D on X such that (X, B) is KLT and $H = D - (K_X + B)$ is ample. However, we have $H^1(X, D) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we can take a base divisor L of C such that $\deg L = n(C) > 0$ and $H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1(-L)) \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(L)$. Tensoring the exact sequence (1) by $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ and taking cohomology groups, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1(-L)) \xrightarrow{\eta} H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \xrightarrow{F^*} H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-p}).$$

Since η is injective, we can take an element $0 \neq \alpha \in H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1(-L))$ such that $0 \neq \eta(\alpha) \in H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-1})$, which determines the following extension of $\mathcal{L}$ by $\mathcal{O}_C$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Pulling back the exact sequence (3) by the Frobenius map F , we have the following split exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow F^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^p \rightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

since the extension class of (4) is just $F^*\eta(\alpha) = 0$.

Let $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ be the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over C , $f : X \rightarrow C$ the projection, $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle and F the fibre of f . The sequence (3) determines a section E of f through the map $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \hookrightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{E}) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$, hence $\mathcal{O}_X(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. The sequence (4) induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow F^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-p} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-p} \rightarrow 0,$$

which determines a section $t \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(p) \otimes f^*\mathcal{L}^{-p})$ through the maps $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \hookrightarrow H^0(C, F^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-p}) \hookrightarrow H^0(C, S^p(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-p}) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(p) \otimes f^*\mathcal{L}^{-p})$. The section t determines an irreducible curve C' on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(C') \cong \mathcal{O}_X(p) \otimes f^*\mathcal{L}^{-p}$. It is easy to verify that both E and C' are smooth over k , and $E \cap C' = \emptyset$.

Let $p = \text{char}(k)$ and let c be a rational number such that $1/p < c < 1$ and $cp \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then $q = [cp] - 1$ is a nonnegative integer. Let $B = cC'$ and $D = qE + f^*(K_C - qL)$. Then we have $H = D - (K_X + B) \equiv ([cp] + 1 - cp)E + (cp - [cp])f^*L$. It is easy to see that (X, B) is KLT. Since $E^2 = \deg \mathcal{E} = \deg \mathcal{L} > 0$, E is a nef and big divisor on X . Furthermore, since E is f -ample, H is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X .

Next we show $H^1(X, D) \neq 0$. Since $D|_F$ is nef on $F \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, we have $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D) = 0$ and $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is a locally free sheaf on C . By the Leray spectral sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(X, D) &= H^1(C, f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \\ &= H^0(C, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D))^\vee \otimes \omega_C)^\vee = H^0(C, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D - f^*K_C))^\vee)^\vee \\ &= H^0(C, S^q(\mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}^q)^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}^q$ is a quotient sheaf of $S^q(\mathcal{E})$, $\mathcal{L}^{-q}$ is a subsheaf of $S^q(\mathcal{E})^\vee$. Thus we have

$$H^1(X, D)^\vee = H^0(C, S^q(\mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}^q) \supseteq H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^{-q} \otimes \mathcal{L}^q) = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = k,$$

which is desired.

Finally, we give some ordinary settings for later use:

$$(\dagger 1) \quad p \geq 3, c = 1/2: q = \frac{p-3}{2}, B = \frac{1}{2}C', D = \frac{p-3}{2}E + f^*(K_C - \frac{p-3}{2}L).$$

$$(\dagger 2) \quad p = 2, c = 2/3: q = 0, B = \frac{2}{3}C', D = f^*K_C.$$

$$(\dagger 3) \quad p = 3, c = 5/6: q = 1, B = \frac{5}{6}C', D = E + f^*(K_C - L).$$

□

Note that in Theorem 3.1, X is a geometrically ruled surface and $\text{Supp}(B)$ is a smooth curve. We can generalize this counterexample slightly to a new one where X is a general ruled surface and $\text{Supp}(B)$ is simple normal crossing. First, we need the following vanishing result [KK, Corollary 2.2.5], which holds in arbitrary characteristic.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $h : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism between normal surfaces with $\tilde{X}$ smooth and with exceptional locus $E = \cup_{i=1}^s E_i$. Let L be an integral divisor on $\tilde{X}$, $0 \leq b_1, \dots, b_s < 1$ rational numbers, and N an h -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on $\tilde{X}$. Assume $L \equiv K_{\tilde{X}} + \sum_{i=1}^s b_i E_i + N$. Then we have $R^1 h_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(L) = 0$.*

Corollary 3.3. *If C is a Tango curve, then there are a ruled surface $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C$, an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\tilde{B}$ and an integral divisor $\tilde{D}$ on $\tilde{X}$ such that $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B})$ is KLT and $\tilde{D} - (K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B})$ is ample. However, we have $H^1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \neq 0$.*

Proof. With the same notation and construction as in Theorem 3.1, let $h : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism such that $\tilde{X}$ is smooth and that $h_*^{-1}B \cup \text{Exc}(h)$ has simple normal crossing support. Denote $\tilde{f} = f \circ h : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C$ the induced morphism and write

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + h_*^{-1}B \equiv h^*(K_X + B) + \sum_{i=1}^s a_i E_i,$$

where E_i are exceptional curves of h and $a_i > -1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Let $\lceil a \rceil$ (resp. $\{a\}$) be the round up (resp. fractional part) of a real number a . Let $\tilde{D} = h^*D + \sum_{i=1}^s \lceil a_i \rceil E_i$. Then we have

$$\tilde{D} \equiv K_{\tilde{X}} + \sum_{i=1}^s \{-a_i\} E_i + h^*(D - (K_X + B)) + h_*^{-1}B.$$

Since $h^*(D - (K_X + B)) + h_*^{-1}B$ is h -nef, we have $R^1 h_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D}) = 0$ by Lemma 3.2.

We can take $0 < \delta_1, \dots, \delta_s \ll 1$ such that

- (i) $\{-a_i\} + \delta_i < 1$ holds for any $1 \leq i \leq s$, and
- (ii) $h^*(D - (K_X + B)) - \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i E_i$ is ample.

Let $\tilde{B} = h_*^{-1}B + \sum_{i=1}^s (\{-a_i\} + \delta_i)E_i$. Then $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B})$ is KLT and $\tilde{D} - (K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B}) \equiv h^*(D - (K_X + B)) - \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i E_i$ is ample. By the Leray spectral sequence and the projection formula, we have $H^1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \cong H^1(X, h_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D})) = H^1(X, D) \neq 0$. Therefore, we obtain a general ruled surface $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C$, which also yields a counterexample to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. $\square$

Remark 3.4. In a similar manner, we can generalize [Xie06, Examples 3.9 and 3.10] slightly to new ones which are counterexamples to the logarithmic Kollár vanishing and the logarithmic semipositivity theorems on certain general ruled surfaces.

From the construction in Theorem 3.1, we can yield a normal projective surface of Picard number one with a nonrational singularity, on which the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem fails.

Corollary 3.5. *Let C be a Raynaud-Tango curve with $\text{char}(k) = p \geq 3$. Then there are a normal projective surface Y of Picard number one with a nonrational singularity, a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor D' on Y such that $D' - K_Y$ is ample. However, we have $H^1(Y, D') \neq 0$.*

Proof. We follow the same notation and construction as in Theorem 3.1. Since E is irreducible and $E^2 > 0$, by [Fu83, Theorem 1.10], E is semiample, i.e. a suitable multiple of E is base-point-free and defines a birational morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that Y is a normal projective surface. As a consequence, π_*E is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor on Y . Since $\rho(X) = 2$, the exceptional locus of π consists of only one irreducible curve, which is just C' since $E \cap C' = \emptyset$. Hence the Picard number $\rho(Y) = 1$. Let $y = \pi_*(C') \in Y$. Then y is the only one singular point of Y , which is nonrational since $g(C') = g(C) \geq 2$.

Let $n = n(C) = \deg L$ and $g = g(C)$. Since C is a Raynaud-Tango curve, we have $K_C = pL$ and $2g - 2 = pn$. The following constants a, b are introduced to simplify the argument.

- When $p \geq 5$, we define $a = (p+1)/p$ and $b = (p+3)/2p$ and we use the setting (†1) in Theorem 3.1. In particular, we have $D = \frac{p-3}{2}E + f^*(K_C - \frac{p-3}{2}L)$.
- When $p = 3$, we define $a = 4/3$ and $b = 2/3$ and we use the setting (†3) in Theorem 3.1. In particular, we have $D = E + f^*(K_C - L)$.

By using the relations $K_X = -2E + f^*(K_C + L)$ and $C' = pE - pf^*L$ and through a direct calculation in the corresponding settings, we can easily show that:

- $p(K_X + aC')$ is linearly equivalent to $p(p-1)E$, hence $K_Y = \pi_*(K_X + aC')$ is ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and we have $K_X \equiv \pi^*K_Y - aC'$;
- $p(D + bC')$ is linearly equivalent to p^2E , hence $\pi_*D = \pi_*(D + bC')$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and we have $D \equiv \pi^*\pi_*D - bC'$.

It follows easily from (i) that Y is not KLT. Let $D' = \pi_*D$. Then D' is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor on Y by (ii). Note that $D' - K_Y = \pi_*(D - K_X) = \pi_*(H + B) = \pi_*H$ is ample on Y .

Since $D \equiv \pi^*\pi_*D - bC'$ with $0 < b < 1$, we have $[\pi^*\pi_*D] = D$. By the projection formula, we have $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{O}_Y(\pi_*D)$. Since $D = K_X + cC' + H$, where $0 < c < 1$ and H is ample, we have $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(D) = 0$ by Lemma 3.2. By the Leray spectral sequence, $H^1(Y, D') = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\pi_*D)) = H^1(Y, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = H^1(X, D) \neq 0$. $\square$

From the construction in Theorem 3.1, we can give a more general statement which generalizes and clarifies, to some extent, the construction of counterexamples to the Kodaira vanishing theorem given in [Ra78]. We sketch out the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 3.6. *If C is a Tango curve of integral type, then there is a fibration $g : Y \rightarrow C$ from a smooth projective surface Y , such that there are counterexamples to the Kodaira vanishing, the Kollár vanishing and the semipositivity theorems on Y .*

Proof. With the same notation and construction as in Theorem 3.1, we can obtain a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $f : X \rightarrow C$ over the Tango curve C of integral type. Let L be a divisible base divisor of C , and let

$$N = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}L & \text{if } p \geq 3, \\ \frac{1}{3}L & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then by definition, N is integral. Let

$$M = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(E + C') & \text{if } p \geq 3, \\ \frac{1}{3}(E + C') & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then by a simple calculation, one sees that M is integral. We can take a cyclic cover $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ of degree 2 or 3 associated to the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(M)$ with branch locus $E \cup C'$, which is the same as in [Ra78]. Let $g = f \circ \varphi : Y \rightarrow C$ be the induced morphism. Since both E and C' are smooth over k and $E \cap C' = \emptyset$, Y is a smooth projective surface over k by [EV92, Lemma 3.15 (b)].

Let $\tilde{E} = (\varphi^*E)_{\text{red}}$ and $\tilde{D} = K_Y + \tilde{E} + g^*N$. Then through a direct calculation, we can verify that $\tilde{D} - K_Y$ is ample and $H^1(Y, \tilde{D}) \neq 0$, which yields a counterexample to the Kodaira vanishing theorem on Y .

Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_C(N)$. Then through a direct calculation, we can prove that

$$\mathcal{N} \otimes g_*\omega_Y = \begin{cases} S^{(p-3)/2}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{N}^{3-p} \otimes \omega_C & \text{if } p \geq 3, \\ \omega_C & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

hence $H^1(C, \mathcal{N} \otimes g_*\omega_Y) \neq 0$ holds, which yields a counterexample to the Kollár vanishing theorem for $g : Y \rightarrow C$ (cf. [Ko86, Theorem 2.1]).

Finally, through a direct calculation, we can show that $g_*\omega_{Y/C}$ has a quotient line bundle $\mathcal{N}^{-1}$ which is of negative degree, which yields a counterexample to the semipositivity theorem for $g : Y \rightarrow C$ (cf. [Fu78, Theorem 2.7] and the general statement [Ka81, Theorem 5]). $\square$

We may regard [Xie06, Examples 3.7, 3.9 and 3.10] as logarithmic counterparts of Theorem 3.6 in some sense. However, in general, it seems impossible to yield counterexamples in the absolute case corresponding to Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5 by means of blowing-ups and divisorial contractions from the construction in Theorem 3.6.

4 Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we shall prove the main theorem in the following explicit form.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow C$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth projective curve C . Let D be an integral divisor on X , B an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that (X, B) is KLT and that $H = D - (K_X + B)$ is ample. Assume $H^1(X, D) \neq 0$. Then either*

- (i) C is a Tango curve, or
- (ii) all of sections of f are ample.

Before proving Theorem 4.1, we need some auxiliary lemmas. The first one is a special case of a result proved in [Ta72b], while we sketch out the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow C$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth projective curve C , and $\mathcal{L}$ an ample line bundle on X . Then $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $F : X \rightarrow X$ be the Frobenius map. Then we have the following exact sequences of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & F_*\mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_X^1 \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & & & \searrow F_*(d) & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & F_*\Omega_X^1 \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_X^1 = \text{im}(F_*(d) : F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\Omega_X^1)$.

By means of the above sequences, we have the following implications for all ample line bundles $\mathcal{L}$: $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0 \implies H^0(X, F_*\Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0 \implies H^0(X, \mathcal{B}_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0 \implies H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{-p})$ is injective $\implies H^1(X, \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ by Serre vanishing. Through a direct calculation, one can show that $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ holds for any ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X , so we are done. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let C be a smooth projective curve, and $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on C with $\deg \mathcal{L} < 0$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free sheaf on C fitting into the following exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

Then for any $n \geq 0$ and any quotient line bundle $\mathcal{G}$ of $S^n(\mathcal{E})$, we have $\deg \mathcal{G} \geq n \deg \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Consider the exact sequence $S^n(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$. If $n = 0$, then we have $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ and the conclusion is trivial. Assume $n \geq 1$. Let $\alpha \in H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-1})$ be the extension class of the exact sequence (5). After pulling back (5) by the iterated Frobenius map F^m , we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow F^{m*}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{p^m} \rightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

We claim that there exists an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the exact sequence (6) is split for any $m \geq m_0$. Indeed, the extension class of (6) is just $F^{m*}(\alpha) \in H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-p^m})$. Since $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is ample, there exists an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the cohomology group $H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-p^m}) = 0$ for any $m \geq m_0$. Therefore, $F^{m*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \cong \mathcal{L}^{-p^m} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$ for any $m \geq m_0$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an ample line bundle on C . Then $F^{m*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{M} \cong (\mathcal{L}^{-p^m} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{M}$ is an ample vector bundle on C . For the exact sequence $S^n(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$, tensoring $\mathcal{L}^{-n}$, pulling back by the iterated Frobenius map F^m and tensoring $\mathcal{M}^n$ successively, we have the following exact sequence:

$$S^n(F^{m*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-n})^{p^m} \otimes \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $F^{m*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is an ample vector bundle, we have $S^n(F^{m*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{M})$ is ample by [Ha66, Theorem 6.6]. Hence its quotient $(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-n})^{p^m} \otimes \mathcal{M}^n$ is also ample. Thus $p^m(\deg \mathcal{G} - n \deg \mathcal{L}) + n \deg \mathcal{M} > 0$ holds for any $m \geq m_0$. As a consequence, we have $\deg \mathcal{G} \geq n \deg \mathcal{L}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let C be a smooth projective curve, and $f : X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C$ a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle associated to a rank two locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on C . Let $\varphi : B \rightarrow C$ be a surjective morphism from a smooth projective curve B , and $X_B = X \times_C B$ the fibre product. Let R be an irreducible curve on X , and $R_B = R \times_C B$ the corresponding curve on X_B . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $g : X_B \rightarrow B$ is the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle associated to the locally free sheaf $\varphi^*\mathcal{E}$ on B .
- (ii) If R is a section of $f : X \rightarrow C$, then R_B is a section of $g : X_B \rightarrow B$.
- (iii) Assume that both $\varphi : B \rightarrow C$ and $f|_R : R \rightarrow C$ are purely inseparable of degree p^n . Then R_B is a section of $g : X_B \rightarrow B$. In particular, if $f|_R : R \rightarrow C$ is of degree 1 then R is a section of f .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_B \subset X_B & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \subset X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ B & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C \end{array}$$

Proof. (i) It is obvious by the functoriality of projective space bundles.

(ii) If R is a section of f , then $R_B = R \times_C B \cong B$, i.e. R_B is a section of g .

(iii) $\varphi : B \rightarrow C$ is purely inseparable of degree p^n , i.e. $K(B)/K(C)$ is a purely inseparable field extension of degree p^n . By [Ha77, Proposition IV.2.5], we can assume that $\varphi : B = C_{p^n} \rightarrow C$ is the iterated k -linear Frobenius map. The conclusion of (iii) can be verified locally, so we may assume that $C = \text{Spec } A$ and φ is induced by the p^n -th power map $A \rightarrow A$, and that $X = \text{Spec } A[x]$, where x is an indeterminant. Hence $\psi : X_B \rightarrow X$ is induced by the ring homomorphism $A[x] \rightarrow A[x]$, which is defined by $a \mapsto a^{p^n}$, $x \mapsto x$. Therefore $\psi : X_B \rightarrow X$ is a purely inseparable finite morphism of degree p^n .

Since $f|_R : R \rightarrow C$ is purely inseparable of degree p^n , so is $f|_{R \circ \nu} : R^\nu \rightarrow C$, where $\nu : R^\nu \rightarrow R$ is the normalization of R . We can also assume that $f|_{R \circ \nu} : R^\nu = C_{p^n} \rightarrow C$ is the iterated k -linear Frobenius map. Let $R = \text{Spec } A_1$. Then A is the integral closure of A_1 in its quotient field. Since $(f|_R \circ \nu)^* : A \rightarrow A$ is the p^n -th power map, it is obviously injective. Hence $(f|_R)^* : A \rightarrow A_1$ is also injective, which implies $A^{p^n} \subset A_1 \subset A$. Thus we have $R_B = \text{Spec}(A \otimes_A A_1)$, where the A -module structures of A and A_1 are induced by the p^n -th power map from A , and $g|_{R_B} : R_B \rightarrow B$ is induced by $A \rightarrow A \otimes_A A_1$, $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$. Define the morphism $s : B \rightarrow R_B$ by $A \otimes_A A_1 \rightarrow A$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$. We can verify that the morphism s is well-defined and induces a section of $g : X_B \rightarrow B$. $\square$

The following lemma has been proved in [Sc01, Proposition 3.3].

Lemma 4.5. *Let C be a smooth projective curve, $f : X \rightarrow C$ a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, and R an irreducible curve on X . If $R^2 < 0$, then $f|_R : R \rightarrow C$ is purely inseparable.*

Proof. Let K be the separable closure in the field extension $K(R)/K(C)$. Then $K(R)/K$ is purely inseparable and $K/K(C)$ is separable. Since $K/K(C)$ is a primitive extension, we can assume that $K = K(C)[x]/(h(x))$, where $h(x)$ is a monic irreducible

separable polynomial over $K(C)$. Let K' be the splitting field of $h(x)$. Then $K'/K(C)$ is a Galois extension. Note that there exists a surjective morphism $\varphi : B \rightarrow C$ from a smooth projective curve B such that $K(B) = K'$. Let ξ be the generic point of the curve B , $\psi^{-1}(\xi) = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$ the generic points of the preimage $\psi^{-1}(R)$, and R_i the irreducible curves on X_B determined by η_i . It is easy to see that $K(B) \otimes_{K(C)} K$ is a product of copies of $K(B)$, and the factors are just the function fields of the curves R_i . Therefore the set $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$ is permuted by the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K(B)/K(C))$ and $\deg h(x) = n$. Hence we have $R_1^2 = \dots = R_n^2$. If the self-intersection is nonnegative, then we have $(R_1 + \dots + R_n)^2 \geq 0$, which contradicts $R^2 < 0$. Thus $R_1^2 = \dots = R_n^2 < 0$. Since $\rho(X_B) = 2$, we have $\deg h(x) = n = 1$, hence $K = K(C)$ and $K(R)/K(C)$ is purely inseparable. $\square$

Let us start the proof of Theorem 4.1. First of all, we need some reductions.

Let $B = \sum b_i B_i$. We may assume that each irreducible component of $\text{Supp}(B)$ is of negative self-intersection. Indeed, if there is a component B_0 of B such that $B_0^2 \geq 0$, then we define $B' = B - b_0 B_0$ and $H' = H + b_0 B_0$. Note that $D = K_X + B + H = K_X + B' + H'$, (X, B') is KLT and $H' = D - (K_X + B')$ is ample since B_0 is nef. Therefore we have only to prove Theorem 4.1 for the triple (X, B', D) .

If $B = 0$ then $H = D - K_X$ is an ample integral divisor, hence we have $H^1(X, D) = H^1(X, -H)^\vee = 0$ by Lemma 4.2, which contradicts the assumption $H^1(X, D) \neq 0$. Therefore we have $B \neq 0$.

Since $\rho(X) = 2$, there exists at most one irreducible curve of negative self-intersection on X . This fact will be used frequently in the later argument. Therefore, $\text{Supp}(B)$ consists of just one irreducible curve of negative self-intersection. We fix the following notation throughout the proof of Theorem 4.1:

$B = cC'$, where $0 < c < 1$ and C' is an irreducible curve on X with $C'^2 < 0$.

Let E be a section of $f : X \rightarrow C$, $\mathcal{E} = f_* \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ and $\mathcal{L} = f_* \mathcal{O}_E(E)$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank two locally free sheaf on C such that $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf on C , which fit into the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0. \quad (7)$$

Denote $-e = E^2 = \deg \mathcal{L} = \deg \mathcal{E}$, F the fibre of f . We divide into two cases by e .

Case (A). Assume $e \geq 0$.

Assume $C' \equiv aE + bF$. If $C' \neq E$, then we have $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq ae \geq 0$, hence $C'^2 = a(2b - ae) \geq 0$, which is absurd. Therefore we have $C' = E$ and $-e = E^2 = C'^2 < 0$. Assume $H \equiv xE + yF$. Since H is ample, we have $x > 0$ and $y > xe > 0$.

By Serre duality, we have $h^1(F, D|_F) = h^0(F, K_F - D|_F) = h^0(F, (K_X - D)|_F) = h^0(F, -(H + B)|_F) = 0$. Hence $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X(D) = 0$, $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is a locally free sheaf on C by Grauert's theorem. It follows from the Leray spectral sequence that $H^1(X, D) = H^1(C, f_* \mathcal{O}_X(D))$. By Serre duality, we have $H^1(C, f_* \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = H^0(C, (f_* \mathcal{O}_X(D))^\vee \otimes \omega_C)^\vee = H^0(C, (f_* \mathcal{O}_X(D - f^* K_C))^\vee)^\vee$.

Note that $D - f^* K_C = K_{X/C} + H + B \equiv (x + c - 2)E + (y - e)F$ and $f : X \rightarrow C$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, so there exists a divisor G on C of degree $y - e$ such that $D - f^* K_C = (x + c - 2)E + f^* G$. If $x + c - 2 < 0$ then we have $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(D - f^* K_C) = 0$, hence $H^1(X, D) = H^0(C, (f_* \mathcal{O}_X(D - f^* K_C))^\vee)^\vee = 0$, which contradicts the assumption $H^1(X, D) \neq 0$. Thus we have $x + c - 2 \geq 0$.

Note that $H^0(C, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X(D - f^*K_C))^\vee) = H^0(C, S^{(x+c-2)}(\mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-G)) \neq 0$, so a nonzero section s determines the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{S} = S^{(x+c-2)}(\mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-G)$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is the cokernel of s . Consider the inverse image in $\mathcal{S}$ of the torsion subsheaf of $\mathcal{Q}$, we can denote it by $\mathcal{O}_C(G_1)$, where G_1 is an effective divisor on C of degree $d_1 \geq 0$. Denote the quotient $\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{O}_C(G_1)$ by $\mathcal{Q}_1$, then $\mathcal{Q}_1$ is a locally free sheaf on C and we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(G_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the dual of this sequence, we have a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{S}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-G_1)$, which yields the exact sequence $S^{(x+c-2)}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-G - G_1) \rightarrow 0$.

Applying Lemma 4.3 to $S^{(x+c-2)}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-G - G_1) \rightarrow 0$, we have the inequality $-(y - e) - d_1 \geq -(x + c - 2)e$, i.e. $-d_1 \geq (y - xe) + (1 - c)e > 0$, which contradicts $d_1 \geq 0$. Therefore Case (A) cannot occur.

Case (B). Assume $e < 0$.

From the former argument, it follows that $f : X \rightarrow C$ has no section of negative self-intersection. So we can assume that $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E}$ normalized and there is a section E such that $\mathcal{O}_X(E) = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and $E^2 = \deg \mathcal{E} = -e > 0$. Therefore we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is an ample line bundle on C .

By Lemma 4.5, we have $f|_{C'} : C' \rightarrow C$ is purely inseparable of degree p^n . If $n = 0$ then C' is a section of $f : X \rightarrow C$ with negative self-intersection, which is absurd by the former argument. Therefore $f|_{C'} : C' \rightarrow C$ is the iterated k -linear Frobenius map of degree $p^n > 1$. Furthermore, the exact sequence (8) is not split. Otherwise, there would be a section of $f : X \rightarrow C$ with negative self-intersection, which is absurd by the former argument.

Subcase (B-1). Assume that E is not ample.

In this subcase, since E is nef and big, but not ample, we have an irreducible curve C_1 such that $E.C_1 = 0$, hence $C_1^2 < 0$ by the Hodge index theorem. Thus we have $C_1 = C'$ and $E \cap C' = \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.4 (ii) and (iii), E_B and C'_B are disjoint sections of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $g : X_B \rightarrow B$, where $\varphi : B \rightarrow C$ is the iterated k -linear Frobenius map of degree p^n . This implies that after pulling back by $\varphi : B \rightarrow C$, the exact sequence (8) becomes split (cf. [Ha77, Exercise V.2.2]). Therefore, we can assume that there exists an $m \geq 1$ such that the pulling back of (8) by F^{m-1} is not split, while the pulling back of (8) by F^m is split.

For the exact sequence (1), tensoring $\mathcal{L}^{-p^{m-1}}$ and taking cohomology groups, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-p^{m-1}}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-p^{m-1}}) \xrightarrow{F^*} H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-p^m}).$$

Let $\alpha \in H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-1})$ be the extension class of the exact sequence (8). By assumption, we have $0 \neq F^{m-1*}(\alpha) \in H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-p^{m-1}})$ and $0 = F^{m*}(\alpha) \in H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^{-p^m})$. Therefore, we have $0 \neq F^{m-1*}(\alpha) \in H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-p^{m-1}})$ by the above exact sequence. As a consequence, we have $H^0(C, \mathcal{B}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-p^{m-1}}) \neq 0$, hence $n(C) > 0$ by Lemma 2.5.

Subcase (B-2). Assume that E is ample.

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized and E is ample, it is easy to see that all of sections of $f : X \rightarrow C$ are ample, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

We give some remarks on Subcase (B-2).

Remark 4.6. (i) Subcase (B-2) occurs only if $g(C) \geq 2$. Indeed, if $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ then we would have $e \geq 0$ by [Ha77, Corollary V.2.13], which contradicts the assumption $e < 0$. If $g(C) = 1$ and write $C' \equiv p^n E + bF$, then we have $b \geq \frac{1}{2}p^n e$ by [Ha77, Proposition V.2.21]. Hence we would have $C'^2 \geq 0$, which contradicts the assumption $C'^2 < 0$.

(ii) The pulling back of the exact sequence (8) by F^m never be split for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if we assume the contrary then $\mathcal{O}_C$ would be a direct summand of the ample vector bundle $F^{m*}\mathcal{E}$, hence would be ample, which is absurd.

(iii) By [Sc01, Theorem 3.5], we can show that there is an irreducible curve E' on X such that $f|_{E'} : E' \rightarrow C$ is purely inseparable of degree p^m , $E'^2 > 0$ and $E' \cap C' = \emptyset$. Thus E' is not a section of f . If $m < n$ then we can follow a similar argument to Subcase (B-1) to show $n(C) > 0$. However, the case $m \geq n$ is complicated, and it seems that we cannot yield any contradiction from the existence of such E' .

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow C$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth projective curve C . Then the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem holds on X if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) *One of sections of f has self-intersection less than or equal to 0,*
- (ii) *$n(C) \leq 0$ and one of sections of f is not ample,*
- (iii) *$g(C) \leq 1$.*

Note that in Subcase (B-2), the ampleness of E is equivalent to the ampleness of $\mathcal{E}$ by [Ha66, Proposition 3.2], so we may take the following problem into account.

Problem 4.8. *Let $f : X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C$ be a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank two locally free sheaf on C with $g(C) \geq 2$. Assume $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized and ample. Does the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem hold on X ?*

Although there is no evidence, we expect that Problem 4.8 holds true. Problem 4.8, together with Theorem 4.1, implies the following conjecture, which would give a characterization of counterexamples to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on geometrically ruled surfaces.

Conjecture 4.9. *Let C be a smooth projective curve. Then there exists a counterexample to the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem on certain geometrically ruled surface over C if and only if C is a Tango curve.*

Note that Conjecture 4.9 is a special case of Conjecture 1.2. On the other hand, it is of certain interest to consider the following conjecture, which is the converse of Theorem 3.6 and similar to Conjecture 1.2.

Conjecture 4.10. *If there is a counterexample to the Kodaira vanishing theorem on a smooth projective surface Y , then there exists a fibration $g : Y \rightarrow C$ to a smooth projective curve C such that the general fibre of g is a singular rational curve and C is a Tango curve of integral type.*

References

- [EV92] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, vol. **20**, Birkhäuser, 1992.
- [Fu78] T. Fujita, On Kähler fiber spaces over curves, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **30** (1978), 779–794.
- [Fu83] T. Fujita, Semipositive line bundles, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **30** (1983), 353–378.
- [Ha66] R. Hartshorne, Ample vector bundles, *Publ. Math. IHES*, **29** (1966), 63–94.
- [Ha77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Ka81] Y. Kawamata, Characterization of abelian varieties, *Comp. Math.*, **43** (1981), 253–276.
- [KMM87] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem, Alg. Geom. Sendai 1985, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **10** (1987), 283–360.
- [Ko86] J. Kollár, Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves I, *Ann. Math.*, **123** (1986), 11–42.
- [KK] J. Kollár, S. Kovács, Birational geometry of log surfaces, preprint, which is available at <http://www.math.washington.edu/~kovacs/pdf/BiratLogSurf.pdf>.
- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. **134**, 1998.
- [Mu79] S. Mukai, On counterexamples to the Kodaira vanishing theorem and the Yau inequality in positive characteristic (in Japanese), Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kinosaki, 1979), 9–23.
- [Mu67] D. Mumford, Pathologies III, *Amer. J. Math.*, **89** (1967), 94–104.
- [Ra78] M. Raynaud, Contre-exemple au “vanishing theorem” en caractéristique $p > 0$, C. P. Ramanujam — A tribute, *Studies in Math.*, **8** (1978), 273–278.
- [Sc01] S. Schröer, Normal del Pezzo surfaces containing a nonrational singularity, *Manuscripta Math.*, **104** (2001), 257–274.
- [Sh94] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry I*, second, revised and expanded edition, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [Ta72a] H. Tango, On the behavior of extensions of vector bundles under the Frobenius map, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **48** (1972), 73–89.
- [Ta72b] H. Tango, On the behavior of cohomology classes of vector bundles under the Frobenius map (in Japanese), *Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kôkyûroku*, **144** (1972), 93–102.
- [Xie06] Q. Xie, Effective non-vanishing for algebraic surfaces in positive characteristic, *J. Algebra*, **305** (2006), 1111–1127.
- [Xie07] Q. Xie, Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing on rational surfaces in positive characteristic, preprint, arXiv:0710.2706.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA
E-mail address: qhxie@fudan.edu.cn